JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 7, Released 1995, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

Certain Facts about Families of Subsets of Many Sorted Sets

Artur Korniłowicz
Institute of Mathematics
Warsaw University
Białystok

MML Identifier: MSSUBFAM.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/mssubfam.html

The articles [18], [5], [21], [16], [22], [3], [1], [4], [7], [6], [19], [20], [2], [12], [13], [14], [8], [15], [10], [17], [9], and [11] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. Preliminaries

For simplicity, we adopt the following rules: I, G, H, i denote sets, A, B, M denote many sorted sets indexed by I, s_1, s_2, s_3 denote families of subsets of I, v, w denote subsets of I, and F denotes a many sorted function indexed by I.

The scheme *MSFExFunc* deals with a set $\mathcal{A}$, many sorted sets $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{C}$ indexed by $\mathcal{A}$, and a ternary predicate $\mathcal{P}$, and states that:

> There exists a many sorted function F from $\mathcal{B}$ into $\mathcal{C}$ such that for every set i if $i \in \mathcal{A}$, then there exists a function f from $\mathcal{B}(i)$ into $\mathcal{C}(i)$ such that $f = F(i)$ and for every set x such that $x \in \mathcal{B}(i)$ holds $\mathcal{P}[f(x), x, i]$

provided the following condition is met:

- For every set i such that $i \in \mathcal{A}$ and for every set x such that $x \in \mathcal{B}(i)$ there exists a set y such that $y \in \mathcal{C}(i)$ and $\mathcal{P}[y, x, i]$.

Next we state a number of propositions:

(1) If $s_1 \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{Intersect}(s_1) \subseteq \bigcup s_1$.

(2) If $G \in s_1$, then $\mathrm{Intersect}(s_1) \subseteq G$.

(3) If $\emptyset \in s_1$, then $\mathrm{Intersect}(s_1) = \emptyset$.

(4) For every subset Z of I such that for every set Z_1 such that $Z_1 \in s_1$ holds $Z \subseteq Z_1$ holds $Z \subseteq \mathrm{Intersect}(s_1)$.

(5) If $s_1 \neq \emptyset$ and for every set Z_1 such that $Z_1 \in s_1$ holds $G \subseteq Z_1$, then $G \subseteq \mathrm{Intersect}(s_1)$.

(6) If $G \in s_1$ and $G \subseteq H$, then $\mathrm{Intersect}(s_1) \subseteq H$.

(7) If $G \in s_1$ and G misses H, then $\mathrm{Intersect}(s_1)$ misses H.

(8) If $s_3 = s_1 \cup s_2$, then $\mathrm{Intersect}(s_3) = \mathrm{Intersect}(s_1) \cap \mathrm{Intersect}(s_2)$.

(9) If $s_1 = \{v\}$, then $\mathrm{Intersect}(s_1) = v$.

(10) If $s_1 = \{v, w\}$, then $\mathrm{Intersect}(s_1) = v \cap w$.

(11) If $A \in B$, then A is an element of B.

(12) For every non-empty many sorted set B indexed by I such that A is an element of B holds $A \in B$.

(13) For every function f such that $i \in I$ and $f = F(i)$ holds $(\mathrm{rng}_\kappa F(\kappa))(i) = \mathrm{rng}\, f$.

(14) For every function f such that $i \in I$ and $f = F(i)$ holds $(\mathrm{dom}_\kappa F(\kappa))(i) = \mathrm{dom}\, f$.

(15) For all many sorted functions F, G indexed by I holds $G \circ F$ is a many sorted function indexed by I.

(16) Let A be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by I and F be a many sorted function from A into $\mathbf{0}_I$. Then $F = \mathbf{0}_I$.

(17) If A is transformable to B and F is a many sorted function from A into B, then $\mathrm{dom}_\kappa F(\kappa) = A$ and $\mathrm{rng}_\kappa F(\kappa) \subseteq B$.

2. Finite Many Sorted Sets

Let us consider I. Observe that every many sorted set indexed by I which is empty yielding is also locally-finite.

Let us consider I. Observe that $\mathbf{0}_I$ is empty yielding and locally-finite.

Let us consider I, A. Note that there exists a many sorted subset indexed by A which is empty yielding and locally-finite.

One can prove the following proposition

(18) If $A \subseteq B$ and B is locally-finite, then A is locally-finite.

Let us consider I and let A be a locally-finite many sorted set indexed by I. Note that every many sorted subset indexed by A is locally-finite.

Let us consider I and let A, B be locally-finite many sorted sets indexed by I. One can verify that $A \cup B$ is locally-finite.

Let us consider I, A and let B be a locally-finite many sorted set indexed by I. Note that $A \cap B$ is locally-finite.

Let us consider I, B and let A be a locally-finite many sorted set indexed by I. One can verify that $A \cap B$ is locally-finite.

Let us consider I, B and let A be a locally-finite many sorted set indexed by I. One can check that $A \setminus B$ is locally-finite.

Let us consider I, F and let A be a locally-finite many sorted set indexed by I. Observe that $F^\circ A$ is locally-finite.

Let us consider I and let A, B be locally-finite many sorted sets indexed by I. Observe that $[\![A, B]\!]$ is locally-finite.

Next we state three propositions:

(19) If B is non-empty and $[\![A, B]\!]$ is locally-finite, then A is locally-finite.

(20) If A is non-empty and $[\![A, B]\!]$ is locally-finite, then B is locally-finite.

(21) A is locally-finite iff 2^A is locally-finite.

Let us consider I and let M be a locally-finite many sorted set indexed by I. Note that 2^M is locally-finite.

One can prove the following four propositions:

(22) Let A be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by I. Suppose A is locally-finite and for every many sorted set M indexed by I such that $M \in A$ holds M is locally-finite. Then $\bigcup A$ is locally-finite.

(23) If $\bigcup A$ is locally-finite, then A is locally-finite and for every M such that $M \in A$ holds M is locally-finite.

(24) If $\mathrm{dom}_{\kappa} F(\kappa)$ is locally-finite, then $\mathrm{rng}_{\kappa} F(\kappa)$ is locally-finite.

(25) Suppose $A \subseteq \mathrm{rng}_{\kappa} F(\kappa)$ and for every set i and for every function f such that $i \in I$ and $f = F(i)$ holds $f^{-1}(A(i))$ is finite. Then A is locally-finite.

Let us consider I and let A, B be locally-finite many sorted sets indexed by I. Observe that $\mathrm{MSFuncs}(A,B)$ is locally-finite.

Let us consider I and let A, B be locally-finite many sorted sets indexed by I. One can verify that $A \dot{-} B$ is locally-finite.

In the sequel X, Y, Z denote many sorted sets indexed by I.

The following propositions are true:

(26) Suppose X is locally-finite and $X \subseteq [\![Y,Z]\!]$. Then there exist A, B such that A is locally-finite and $A \subseteq Y$ and B is locally-finite and $B \subseteq Z$ and $X \subseteq [\![A,B]\!]$.

(27) Suppose X is locally-finite and Z is locally-finite and $X \subseteq [\![Y,Z]\!]$. Then there exists A such that A is locally-finite and $A \subseteq Y$ and $X \subseteq [\![A,Z]\!]$.

(28) Let M be a non-empty locally-finite many sorted set indexed by I. Suppose that for all many sorted sets A, B indexed by I such that $A \in M$ and $B \in M$ holds $A \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq A$. Then there exists a many sorted set m indexed by I such that $m \in M$ and for every many sorted set K indexed by I such that $K \in M$ holds $m \subseteq K$.

(29) Let M be a non-empty locally-finite many sorted set indexed by I. Suppose that for all many sorted sets A, B indexed by I such that $A \in M$ and $B \in M$ holds $A \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq A$. Then there exists a many sorted set m indexed by I such that $m \in M$ and for every many sorted set K indexed by I such that $K \in M$ holds $K \subseteq m$.

(30) If Z is locally-finite and $Z \subseteq \mathrm{rng}_{\kappa} F(\kappa)$, then there exists Y such that $Y \subseteq \mathrm{dom}_{\kappa} F(\kappa)$ and Y is locally-finite and $F \circ Y = Z$.

3. A Family of Subsets of Many Sorted Sets

Let us consider I, M. A subset family of M is a many sorted subset indexed by 2^M.

Let us consider I, M. Note that there exists a subset family of M which is non-empty.

Let us consider I, M. Then 2^M is a subset family of M.

Let us consider I, M. Observe that there exists a subset family of M which is empty yielding and locally-finite.

One can prove the following proposition

(31) $\mathbf{0}_I$ is an empty yielding locally-finite subset family of M.

Let us consider I and let M be a locally-finite many sorted set indexed by I. Observe that there exists a subset family of M which is non-empty and locally-finite.

We adopt the following convention: S_1, S_2, S_3 are subset families of M, S_4 is a non-empty subset family of M, and V, W are many sorted subsets indexed by M.

Let I be a non empty set, let M be a many sorted set indexed by I, let S_1 be a subset family of M, and let i be an element of I. Then $S_1(i)$ is a family of subsets of $M(i)$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(32) If $i \in I$, then $S_1(i)$ is a family of subsets of $M(i)$.

(33) If $A \in S_1$, then A is a many sorted subset indexed by M.

(34) $S_1 \cup S_2$ is a subset family of M.

(35) $S_1 \cap S_2$ is a subset family of M.

(36) $S_1 \setminus A$ is a subset family of M.

(37) $S_1 \dot{-} S_2$ is a subset family of M.

(38) If $A \subseteq M$, then $\{A\}$ is a subset family of M.

(39) If $A \subseteq M$ and $B \subseteq M$, then $\{A, B\}$ is a subset family of M.

(40) $\bigcup S_1 \subseteq M$.

4. Intersection of a Family of Many Sorted Sets

Let us consider I, M, S_1. The functor $\bigcap S_1$ yielding a many sorted set indexed by I is defined by:

(Def. 2)[1] For every set i such that $i \in I$ there exists a family Q of subsets of $M(i)$ such that $Q = S_1(i)$ and $(\bigcap S_1)(i) = \mathrm{Intersect}(Q)$.

Let us consider I, M, S_1. Then $\bigcap S_1$ is a many sorted subset indexed by M.
The following propositions are true:

(41) If $S_1 = \mathbf{0}_I$, then $\bigcap S_1 = M$.

(42) $\bigcap S_4 \subseteq \bigcup S_4$.

(43) If $A \in S_1$, then $\bigcap S_1 \subseteq A$.

(44) If $\mathbf{0}_I \in S_1$, then $\bigcap S_1 = \mathbf{0}_I$.

(45) Let Z, M be many sorted sets indexed by I and S_1 be a non-empty subset family of M. Suppose that for every many sorted set Z_1 indexed by I such that $Z_1 \in S_1$ holds $Z \subseteq Z_1$. Then $Z \subseteq \bigcap S_1$.

(46) If $S_1 \subseteq S_2$, then $\bigcap S_2 \subseteq \bigcap S_1$.

(47) If $A \in S_1$ and $A \subseteq B$, then $\bigcap S_1 \subseteq B$.

(48) If $A \in S_1$ and $A \cap B = \mathbf{0}_I$, then $\bigcap S_1 \cap B = \mathbf{0}_I$.

(49) If $S_3 = S_1 \cup S_2$, then $\bigcap S_3 = \bigcap S_1 \cap \bigcap S_2$.

(50) If $S_1 = \{V\}$, then $\bigcap S_1 = V$.

(51) If $S_1 = \{V, W\}$, then $\bigcap S_1 = V \cap W$.

(52) If $A \in \bigcap S_1$, then for every B such that $B \in S_1$ holds $A \in B$.

(53) Let A, M be many sorted sets indexed by I and S_1 be a non-empty subset family of M. Suppose $A \in M$ and for every many sorted set B indexed by I such that $B \in S_1$ holds $A \in B$. Then $A \in \bigcap S_1$.

Let us consider I, M and let I_1 be a subset family of M. We say that I_1 is additive if and only if:

(Def. 3) For all A, B such that $A \in I_1$ and $B \in I_1$ holds $A \cup B \in I_1$.

We say that I_1 is absolutely-additive if and only if:

(Def. 4) For every subset family F of M such that $F \subseteq I_1$ holds $\bigcup F \in I_1$.

We say that I_1 is multiplicative if and only if:

(Def. 5) For all A, B such that $A \in I_1$ and $B \in I_1$ holds $A \cap B \in I_1$.

We say that I_1 is absolutely-multiplicative if and only if:

[1] The definition (Def. 1) has been removed.

(Def. 6) For every subset family F of M such that $F \subseteq I_1$ holds $\bigcap F \in I_1$.

We say that I_1 is properly upper bound if and only if:

(Def. 7) $M \in I_1$.

We say that I_1 is properly lower bound if and only if:

(Def. 8) $\mathbf{0}_I \in I_1$.

Let us consider I, M. Observe that there exists a subset family of M which is non-empty, additive, absolutely-additive, multiplicative, absolutely-multiplicative, properly upper bound, and properly lower bound.

Let us consider I, M. Then 2^M is an additive absolutely-additive multiplicative absolutely-multiplicative properly upper bound properly lower bound subset family of M.

Let us consider I, M. Note that every subset family of M which is absolutely-additive is also additive.

Let us consider I, M. Observe that every subset family of M which is absolutely-multiplicative is also multiplicative.

Let us consider I, M. Observe that every subset family of M which is absolutely-multiplicative is also properly upper bound.

Let us consider I, M. Note that every subset family of M which is properly upper bound is also non-empty.

Let us consider I, M. Note that every subset family of M which is absolutely-additive is also properly lower bound.

Let us consider I, M. One can verify that every subset family of M which is properly lower bound is also non-empty.

REFERENCES

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/ordinal1.html.

[2] Ewa Burakowska. Subalgebras of many sorted algebra. Lattice of subalgebras. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/msualg_2.html.

[3] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.

[4] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.

[5] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.

[6] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct_4.html.

[7] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset_1.html.

[8] Artur Korniłowicz. On the group of automorphisms of universal algebra and many sorted algebra. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/autalg_1.html.

[9] Artur Korniłowicz. Definitions and basic properties of boolean and union of many sorted sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/mboolean.html.

[10] Artur Korniłowicz. Extensions of mappings on generator set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/extens_1.html.

[11] Artur Korniłowicz. Some basic properties of many sorted sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/pzfmisc1.html.

[12] Małgorzata Korolkiewicz. Homomorphisms of many sorted algebras. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/msualg_3.html.

[13] Beata Madras. Product of family of universal algebras. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/pralg_1.html.

[14] Beata Madras. Products of many sorted algebras. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/pralg_2.html.

[15] Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, Andrzej Trybulec, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. Preliminaries to circuits, I. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/pre_circ.html.

[16] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/setfam_1.html.

[17] Alexander Yu. Shibakov and Andrzej Trybulec. The Cantor set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/cantor_1.html.

[18] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.

[19] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/pboole.html.

[20] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted algebras. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/msualg_1.html.

[21] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.

[22] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html.

Received October 27, 1995

Published January 2, 2004